

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,005	03/11/2004	Jurgen Jolly	BE-124	5172	
7	7590 11/02/2005		EXAM	INER	
Friedrich Kueffner Suite 910			ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
317 Madison Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10017			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/798,005	JOLLY, JURGEN
Examiner	Art Unit
Mohammad Ali	3744

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) X They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) . will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-8 and 10-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argued, "Kuroda et al. do not disclose an air conditioning system having a flat cooling element arranged within a plaster layer of a wall of the room, where the cooling element includes a pre-manufactured web comprised of carrier mat and plurality of meandering, parallel, counter-flow cooling pipes fastened to the carrier mat, and where the cooling pipes are spaced from one another so as to enable, at locations between the pipes, a bonding of plaster to a base to which it is applied, as in the presently claimed invention." The Examiner disagrees. The cooling means of Kuroda et al., is invariably flat as mentioned previously. Kuroda's cooling unit (A.C unit) 10 which is flat as seen in Fig. 1. The air conditioner body 22 as seen in Fig. 17 is embedded in a wall of a room. (see column 2, lines 36-44). The Applicant did not show any specific reason why the cooling element 10 of Kuroda et al., is not flat. Again the cooling element (cooling pipes) of Jolly is similar to the cooling pipes of the claimed invention and the interpretation of word flat can be made in a boadest way. If any one see the the whole cooling element of Jolly under the pluster of a ceiling it is no more than a flat cooling element as a whole. Therefore, the cooling element of Jolly is also flat. The combination of Jolly with Kuroda et al., makes it sense that the cooling element in combination is also flat. The other parts of the arguments have been specifically shown in the Figs. Have Jolly and also narrated in the translated abstract. Therefore, the rejections are proper.

ma